

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,832	TAKESHITA, TETSUYA
	Examiner Pritham Prabhakher	Art Unit 2622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Pritham Prabhakher.

(3) David R. Kemeny.

(2) David Ometz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 November 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed language to overcome the 112 1st issues and an agreement was reached on the language that would overcome the 112 1st issues. Pending an update search by the examiner, the claims may or may not be allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



SPE, AU 2622 Pritham D. Prabhakher 11/07/2007

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required